UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,562	05/03/2005	Kenji Oshima	P27820	4028
7055 GREENBLUM	590 04/26/2007 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			TRAN, LY T	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		04/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 04/26/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

•		$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/533,562	OSHIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ly T. TRAN	2853
The MAILING DATE of this communicate	1 -	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	∖pplication No
Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	_	•
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	<u></u> .

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- Species 1: figures 1-11.
- Species 2: figures. 12-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,562

Art Unit: 2853

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th:6:30 AM-3:00PM or IFP, Friday: work at home.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,562

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

April 19, 2007

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEI

Page 4